# Data Evaluation Record on the Reproductive Effects of Ethylenethiourea (ETU) on Northern Bobwhite Quail (Colinus virginianus)

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48819701

Data Requirement	EPA I	A Data Code OP Barcode O Data Point	{} 402789 {}	
	EPA N	MRID Guideline	48819701 OPPTS 850.2300	
Common name: Ett Chemical name: IU	AS name:		Purity: 100%	
Primary Reviewer: Staff Scientist, CSS	Rebecca L. Bryan -Dynamac Corporat	ion	Signature: Date: 5/28/13	becca L. Byan
Secondary Reviewe Environmental Scientific	r: John Marton, Ph.I entist, CDM Smith	D.	Signature: Date: 3/13/14	o hmas
Primary Reviewer: {EPA/OECD/PMR	Brian Montague, Fis	thery Biologist	Date: March 3	2015
Secondary Reviewe {EPA/OECD/PMR.	r(s): { allen v.	Vangle	Date: {	5
Reference/Submissi	on No.: {	}		
Company Code Active Code Use Site Category EPA PC Code	{} {} 600016	[For PMRA] [For PMRA] [For PMRA]		
Date Evaluation Co	mpleted: {dd-mm-yy	yy}		
CITATION: Templ	e, D.L., et al. 2012	. Ethylenethiourea	: A Reproduction Stu	idy with the Northern Bobwhi

CITATION: Temple, D.L., et al. 2012. Ethylenethiourea: A Reproduction Study with the Northern Bobwhite. Unpublished study performed by Wildlife International Ltd., Easton, MD. Laboratory Study No. 697-107. Study sponsored by EBDC/ETU Task Force, c/o McDermott, Will and Emery, Washington, D.C.

Study initiated August 1, 2011 and completed April 30, 2012. Biological portion: August 2, 2011 to January 20, 2012.

DISCLAIMER: This document provides guidance for EPA and PMRA reviewers on how to complete a data evaluation record after reviewing a scientific study concerning the reproductive effects of a pesticide on avian species. It is not intended to prescribe conditions to any external party for conducting this study nor to establish absolute criteria regarding the assessment of whether the study is scientifically sound and whether the study satisfies any applicable data requirements. Reviewers are expected to review and to determine for each study, on a case-by-case basis, whether it is scientifically sound and provides sufficient information to satisfy applicable data requirements. Studies that fail to meet any of the conditions may be accepted, if appropriate; similarly, studies that meet all of the conditions may be rejected, if appropriate. In sum, the reviewer is to take into account the tutality of factors related to the test methodology and results in determining the acceptability of the study.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The one-generation reproductive toxicity of Ethylenethiourea (ETU) to 16 pairs per level of 20-week old Northern Bobwhite quail (*Colinus virginianus*) was assessed over 20 weeks. Ethylenethiourea was administered to the birds in the diet at nominal concentrations of 0 (negative control), 20, 100, and 400 mg ai/kg diet. Mean-measured concentrations were 0 (control), 18.2, 100, and 381 mg ai/kg diet.

No mortalities occurred in the control or 381 mg ai/kg groups. Incidental mortalities included one 18.2 mg ai/kg group male bird found dead on Day 3 of Week 6, and one 100 mg ai/kg group female bird found dead on Day 6 of Week 20. No treatment-related signs of toxicity were observed in the control or treatment groups. No treatment-related findings were observed in the  $\leq$ 100 mg ai/kg diet groups based on the gross necropsy results. At 381 mg ai/kg, the treatment-related finding of enlarged thyroids was observed for one male and four female adult birds. There were no treatment-related differences in feed consumption or in adult body weights at any treatment level. At 100 and 381 mg ai/kg diet, hatchling body weights were significantly (p<0.05) decreased compared to controls. At 381 mg ai/kg diet, 14-day old survivor weight,14-d hatchlings per egg set, hatchlings per live embryo, male weight gain, and female weight gain were significantly (p<0.05) reduced compared to controls. No apparent treatment-related effects on reproduction or statistically-significant differences from the control were observed at any interval for the 18.2 or 100 mg ai/kg-diet levels. No significant treatment-related differences in egg shell thickness were observed between the control group and any of the treatment groups. The NOAEC was 18.2 mg ai/kg diet (mean-measured concentration) based on hatchling bodyweights.

This study is scientifically sound and will satisfy the FIFRA guideline requirement for a Northern Bobwhite quail (*Colinus virginianus*) reproductive toxicity study.

#### **Results Synopsis**

Test Organism Size/Age (mean Weight): 20 weeks old; 173 to 228 g (combined sexes)

NOAEC: 18.2 mg ai/kg (mean-measured) LOAEC: 100 mg ai/kg (mean-measured)

Endpoints affected: 14-d hatchlings/eggs set, hatchlings/live embryo, hatchling weight, 14-d survivor weight,

male weight gain, female weight gain

Most sensitive endpoint(s): Hatchling body weight

#### I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE(S) FOLLOWED: U.S. EPA Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, §71-4, U.S. EPA Ecological

Effects Test Guidelines OPPTS 850.2300, and OECD Test Guideline 206.

No deviations from U.S. EPA OPPTS Guideline No. 850.2300 were observed.

**COMPLIANCE:** Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance, and No Data Confidentiality

statements were provided. The study was conducted in compliance with the U.S. EPA GLP Standards (40 CFR part 160) with the exception of routine water and food contaminant screening analyses. However, the analyses were performed using laboratory standard operating procedures and standard U.S. EPA

procedures.

A. MATERIALS:

**1. Test Material:** Ethylenethiourea (ETU)

**Description:** Solid

**Lot No./Batch No.:** XW7-102353-014

**Purity:** 100%

Stability of compound

**under test conditions:** The Day 7 diet sample recoveries were 91-99% of Day 0 values.

Storage conditions of

test chemicals: Ambient conditions.

Physicochemical properties of Ethylenethiourea.

Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility at 20°C	Not reported	
Vapor pressure	Not reported	
UV absorption	Not reported	
pKa	Not reported	
Kow	Not reported	

(OECD recommends water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, and vapor pressure of test compound)

# 2. Test organism:

Table 1: Test organism

Parameter	Details	Remarks
rarameter	Details	Criteria
Species (common and scientific names):	Northern Bobwhite quail	
(Colinus virginianus)		Recommended species include a wild waterfowl species, preferably the mallard (Anas platyrhynchos) or an upland game species, preferably the Northern Bobwhite (Colinus virginianus)
Age at Study Initiation:	20 weeks old	
		Birds approaching their first breeding season should be used.
Body Weight: (mean and range)	Overall (combined sexes,	
	combined treatments) range of 173 to 228 g at study initiation.	Body weights should be recorded at test initiation and at biweekly
	Adult body weight was measured every 2 weeks until week 8	intervals up to week eight or up to the onset of egg laying and at termination.
Source:	Molly Bryden, Rock Hall, MD	Birds were phenotypically indistinguishable from wild stock.
		All birds should be from the same source.

# **B. STUDY DESIGN:**

# 1. Experimental Conditions

a. Range-finding study: No range-finding study was reported.

b. <u>Definitive Study</u>:

**Table 2: Experimental Parameters** 

	- · ·	Remarks
Parameter	Details	Criteria
Acclimation period:	2 weeks	
Conditions (same as test or not):	Not reported.	Recommended observation period includes a 2-3 week health
Feeding:	Basal diet was provided daily, ad libitum.	observation period prior to selection of birds for treatment. Generally, birds should be healthy without
Health (any mortality observed):	Healthy birds were used in test.	excess mortality. Feeding should be ad libitum, and sickness, injuries or mortality should be noted.
Test duration		
Pre-laying exposure:	10 weeks (including photostimulation period)	Recommended pre-laying exposure
Egg-laying exposure:	10 weeks	duration: At least 10 weeks prior to the onset of
Withdrawal period, if used:	6 weeks	egg-laying.
		Recommended exposure duration
		with egg-laying: At least 10 weeks.
		Recommended withdrawal period:
		If reduced reproduction is evident, a
		withdrawal period of up to 3 weeks should be added to the test phase.

Parameter	Details	Remarks
T ut unitotes	Downs	Criteria
Pen (for parental and offspring) Size:	Parental: 25 x 51 x 20-26 cm (sloping floors). Offspring: 72 x 90 x 23 cm	Pens Pens should have adequate room and be arranged to prevent cross-
Construction materials:	Galvanized wire mesh and galvanized sheeting.	contamination. <u>Materials</u> Recommended materials include nontoxic material and nonbinding
Number:	16 parental pens/treatment level	material, such as galvanized steel.  Number  At least 5 replicate pens should be used for mallards housed in groups of 7. For other arrangements, at least 12 pens should be used, but considerably more may be used if birds are kept in pairs. Chicks should be housed according to parental grouping.
Number of birds per pen (male:female)	2 birds/pen (1 male:1 female)	
		One male and one female per pen should be used. For quail, one male and two females should be used. For ducks, two males and five females should be used.
Number of pens per group/treatment		
Negative control: Solvent control: Treated:	16 pens N/A 16 pens/treatment	At least 12-16 pens should be used, but considerably more if birds are kept in pairs.

	D . II	Remarks
Parameter	Details	Criteria
Test concentrations (mg ai/kg diet) Nominal:	0 (control), 20, 100, and 400 mg ai/kg diet	Recommended test concentrations include at least two concentrations
Measured:	<1.0 ( <loq, 100,="" 18.2,="" 381="" ai="" and="" control),="" diet<="" kg="" mg="" td=""><td>other than the control; three or more will provide a better statistical analysis. The highest test concentrations should show a significant effect or be at or above the actual or expected field residue level.</td></loq,>	other than the control; three or more will provide a better statistical analysis. The highest test concentrations should show a significant effect or be at or above the actual or expected field residue level.
Maximum labeled field residue		
anticipated and source of information:	Not specified	The highest test concentrations should show a significant effect or be at or above the actual or expected field residue level. The source (i.e., maximum label rate in lb ai/A and ppm), label registration no., label date, and site should be cited]
Solvent/vehicle, if used		
Type: Amount:	None N/A	Recommended solvents include corn oil or other appropriate vehicle not more than 2% of diet by weight
Was detailed description and nutrient		
analysis of the basal diet provided? (Yes/No)	Yes	A commercial breeder feed or an equivalent that is appropriate for the test species is recommended.
Preparation of test diet	For each level, test substance was mixed into a premix basal feed. Test diets were prepared weekly beginning August 2, 2011 and presented to birds on Tuesday of each week.	A premixed diet containing the test substance should be mechanically mixed with basal diet. If an evaporative vehicle is used, it should be completely evaporated prior to feeding.
Indicate whether stability and homogeneity of test material in diet determined (Yes/No)	Yes	See Reviewer's Comments section.
Were concentrations in diet verified by chemical analysis?	Yes	See Reviewer's Comments section.

	D . II	Remarks
Parameter	Details	Criteria
Did chemical analysis confirm that diet was stable?	Yes	See Reviewer's Comments section.
Homogeneous?	Yes	
Feeding and husbandry	Feeding and husbandry conditions appeared to be adequate, given guideline recommendations.	
Test conditions (pre-laying)		Light intensity was 133 lux.
Temperature: Relative humidity: Photoperiod:	21.4 ± 0.7°C 57 ± 17% 8 hr light/day through Week 7; 17 hr light/day thereafter	Recommended temperature: about 21°C (70°F) Recommended relative humidity: about 55% Recommended lighting First 8 weeks: 7 h per day. Thereafter: 16-17 h per day. At least 6 foot-candles are recommended at bird level.
Egg Collection and Incubation	_	
Egg collection and storage Collection interval: Storage temperature: Storage humidity:	Daily 14.2 ± 0.1°C 85 ± 5%	Eggs should be collected daily; recommended egg storage temperature is approximately 16°C (61°F); recommended humidity is approximately 65%. Recommended collection interval: daily
Were eggs candled for cracks prior to setting for incubation?	Yes	Eggs should be candled on day 0
Were eggs set weekly?	Yes	
When candling was done for fertility?	Eggs were candled again on Days 11-12 (embryo viability) and 21 (embryo survival).	850.2300 also recommends candling on day 18 for Bobwhite quail
		Quail: approx. day 11 Ducks: approx. day 14
When the eggs were transferred to the hatcher?	Day 21 eggs were transferred to Nature Form Incubator – no rotation after transfer—wire basket separation by pen of origin	Bobwhite: usually day 21 Mallard: usually day 23

Parameter	Details	Remarks		
i ai ainctei	Details	Criteria		
Hatching conditions Temperature: Humidity: Photoperiod:	37.3 ± 0.0°C 57 ± 1% 17 hours light/day	Recommended temperature is 39°C (102°F) Recommended humidity is 70%		
Day the hatched eggs were removed and counted	Day 25-26	Eggs for Bobwhite should be removed on day 24; for mallard on day 27		
Were egg shells washed and dried for at least 48 hrs before measuring?	Yes-air dried for 1 week			
Egg shell thickness No. of eggs used:	One egg from each of the odd numbered pens during odd numbered weeks and one egg from each of the even numbered pens during even numbered weeks were collected and measured.	Newly hatched eggs should be collected at least once every two weeks. Thickness of the shell plus membrane should be measured to the nearest 0.01 mm with 3 - 4 measurements per shell.		
Intervals:	Weekly			
Mode of measurement:	Five points around the waist of the egg using a micrometer to the nearest 0.002 mm.			
Reference chemical, if used	None used.			

# 2. Observations:

**Table 3: Observations** 

Parameter	Details	Remarks			
Parameters measured					
Parental (mortality, body weight, mean feed consumption)  Egg collection and subsequent development (no. of eggs laid, no. of eggs cracked, shell thickness, no. of eggs set, no. of viable embryos, no. of live 3 week embryos, no. hatched, no. of 14-day survivors, average weight of 14-d old survivors, mortality, gross pathology, others)	- mortality - signs of toxicity - body weight - feed consumption  - eggs laid per female/ maximum laid any female converted to percentage value - eggs cracked - egg shell thickness - eggs set - viable embryos-day 11-12 - live 3-week embryos of viable/pen - hatchlings of live embryos/pen - hatchlings/eggs set and maximum set - hatchling body weight - 14-day-old survivors of hatchlings/pen - 14 day survivors/eggs set - 14-day-old survivor body weight by parental pen group	Recommended endpoints measured include:  • Eggs laid/pen  • Eggs set/pen  • Viable embryos/pen  • Live 3-week embryos/pen  • Normal hatchlings/pen  • 14-day-old survivors/pen  • 14-day-old survivors/pen  • Weights of 14-day-old • survivors (mean per pen)  • Egg shell thickness • Food consumption (mean per pen)  • Initial and final body weight (mean per pen)			
Indicate if the test material was regurgitated	No indications of dietary regurgitation.				
Observation intervals (for various parameters)	Parental mortality and signs of toxicity were recorded daily. Parental body weights were recorded on Weeks 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, and at adult termination. Offspring were weighed when removed from the hatcher and at 14 days. Parental feed consumption was measured weekly.	Body weights and food consumption should be measured at least biweekly			
Were raw data included?	Yes				

# **II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

#### A. MORTALITY:

No mortalities occurred in the control or 400 mg ai/kg groups. One male bird in the 20 mg ai/kg group was found dead on Day 3 of Week 6, and one female bird in the 100 mg ai/kg group was found dead on Day 6 of

Week 20. These mortalities were considered incidental due to the nature of lesions observed at necropsy.

#### B. REPRODUCTIVE AND OTHER ENDPOINTS:

Abnormal Effects/Behavior: No treatment-related signs of toxicity were observed during the study. The incidental clinical observations of feather loss, lesions (head, foot, hock, leg and wing), abrasions, swelling, bruising, coughing, wing droop, lameness, thin appearance, and ventral head curl were associated with injuries and pen wear. All other birds were normal in appearance and behavior. No treatment-related findings were observed in the  $\leq 100$  mg ai/kg diet groups based on the gross necropsy results. At 400 mg ai/kg, the treatment-related finding of enlarged thyroids was observed for one male and four female adult birds.

<u>Food Consumption</u>: No significant treatment-related differences in food consumption were observed during the study. The estimated overall mean dietary doses were 0.0, 1.8, 8.9, and 35.3 mg ai/kg/day for the control, 20, 100, and 400 mg ai/kg groups, respectively.

<u>Body Weight</u>: No significant treatment-related differences were observed between the control group and any of the treatment groups at any of the adult body weight intervals. No treatment-related effects on offspring body weight were observed in the  $\leq$ 20 mg ai/kg diet groups. However, at 100 and 400 mg ai/kg diet, hatchling body weights were significantly (p<0.05) decreased compared to controls. Additionally at 400 mg ai/kg diet, 14-day old survivor weight was significantly (p<0.05) reduced compared to controls.

Reproductive Effects: No statistically significant differences from controls were observed in any reproductive parameter in the  $\leq 100$  mg ai/kg diet groups. At 400 mg ai/kg diet, the live 3-week embryos as a percentage of viable embryos was significantly (p<0.01) lower compared to the control. Also, at 400 mg ai/kg diet, slight reductions were observed in viable embryos per eggs set and hatchability, hatchlings per eggs set, and 14-day old survivors per eggs set. Although these reductions were not statistically significant, the authors considered it to be related to treatment.

No significant treatment-related differences in egg shell thickness were observed between the control group and any of the treatment groups.

Table 4: Reproductive and Other Parameters (nominal concentrations; study author-reported).

Parameter	Control	20 mg ai/kg	100 mg ai/kg	400 mg ai/kg	NOAEC/ LOAEC
No. laying pairs	16	15	16	16	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Eggs laid/pair	818	737	865	823	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Eggs cracked/pair	16	32	22	24	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Eggs set/pair	726	637	761	700	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Viable embryos/pair	624	618	698	549	400 mg ai/kg

Parameter	Control	20 mg ai/kg	100 mg ai/kg	400 mg ai/kg	NOAEC/ LOAEC
					>400 mg ai/kg
Live 3-week embryos/pair	622	615	694	536	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Hatchlings/pair	567	570	610	461	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
14-day old hatchling survivors/pair	536	541	584	430	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Eggs laid/hen	51	49	54	51	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Eggs laid/hen/day (91 days)	0.56	0.54	059	0.57	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
14-day old survivors/hen	34	36	37	27	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Eggs laid/maximum laid (%)	72	69	76	72	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Eggs cracked/eggs laid (%)	2	5	3	3	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Viable embryos/eggs set (%)	86	97	92	77 <sup>b</sup>	100 mg ai/kg 400 mg ai/kg
Live 3-week embryos/viable embryos (%)	100	100	100	98**	100 mg ai/kg 400 mg ai/kg
Hatchlings/Live 3-week embryos (%)	92	93	88	82	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
14-day old survivors/Hatchlings (%)	95	95	96	90	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Hatchlings/Eggs set (%)	78	90	81	64 <sup>b</sup>	100 mg ai/kg 400 mg ai/kg
14-Day old survivors/Eggs set (%)	74	86	78	59 b	100 mg ai/kg 400 mg ai/kg
Hatchlings/Maximum set (%)	59	63	64	48	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
14-Day old survivors/Maximum set (%)	56	60	61	45	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg

Parameter	Control	20 mg ai/kg	100 mg ai/kg	400 mg ai/kg	NOAEC/ LOAEC
Shell thickness (mm ± SD)	$0.234 \pm 0.012$	$0.229 \pm 0.010$	$0.228 \pm 0.015$	$0.234 \pm 0.020$	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Hatchling weight $(g \pm SD)$	6.2±0.4	6.1±0.4	5.8±0.4*	5.4±0.5**	20 mg ai/kg 100 mg ai/kg
14-day old survivors weight (g ± SD)	28.8±2.2	28.0±2.6	28.1±2.4	26.3±3.6*	100 mg ai/kg 400 mg ai/kg
Mean food consumption (g/bird/day)	18	19	19	18	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Weight (g) of parent females at test initiation: at Week 4: at test termination:	201 208 245	202 207 241	200 206 246	200 207 231	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg
Weight (g) of parent males at test initiation: at Week 4: at test termination:	198 203 213	201 208 218	199 206 213	201 207 200	400 mg ai/kg >400 mg ai/kg

Data obtained from Page 23, Table 1 on page 26, Table 3 on page 31, Table 4 on page 33, Table 5 on page 35, and Table 6 on page 36 of the study report.

#### C. REPORTED STATISTICS:

Each of the treatment groups was compared to the control group using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's Multiple Comparison Procedure. Sample units were the individual pens within each experimental group, except adult body weights, where the sample unit was the individual bird. Percentage data were arcsine square root transformed prior to analysis. Nominal concentrations were used for all analyses.

#### D. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method: Data were tested to determine if they satisfied the assumptions of normality using Shapiro-Wilks test and homogeneity of variances using Bartlett's test. Eggshell thickness, food consumption, and male weight gain met these assumptions of parametric statistics and was therefore analyzed using Dunnett's multiple comparison test. A suggestive decreasing monotonic response was noted for 14-d survivor weight, hatchling weight, and hatchlings per live embryo; the responses were not linear, though overall decreasing trends were exhibited. The Jonckheere-Terpstra Step-Down Test was used to analyze hatchlings per live embryo and Williams test was used to analyze hatchling weight and 14-d survivor weight. The Wilcoxon test with Bonferroni Adj was used to determine the NOAEL/LOAEL values for all endpoints which were non-parametric data that did not exhibit a monotonic response. Unless otherwise indicated, effects were considered statistically significant at p<0.05. These analyses were conducted using CETIS version 1.8.7.12 with backend settings approved for use by

b Treatment-related reductions were observed at 400 mg ai/kg, but not statistically significant.

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically different from the control at p<0.05.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Statistically different from the control at p<0.01.

EFED on 5/29/13. Analyses were conducted using mean-measured concentrations.

NOAEL: 18.2 mg ai/kg LOAEL: 100 mg ai/kg

Endpoints affected: 14-d hatchlings/eggs set, hatchlings/live embryo, hatchling weight, 14-d survivor weight,

male weight gain, female weight gain

Most sensitive endpoint(s): Hatchling body weight

Table 5: Reproductive and Other Parameters (mean-measured concentrations; reviewer-reported).

Parameter	Control	18.2 mg ai/kg	100 mg ai/kg	381 mg ai/kg	NOAEC/ LOAEC
Eggs laid/pen	51.1	49.1	54.1	51.4	381 mg ai/kg >381 mg ai/kg
Eggs not cracked/eggs laid (%)	98.1	94.8	97.1	97.2	381 mg ai/kg >381 mg ai/kg
Shell thickness	0.234	0.229	0.228	0.234	381 mg ai/kg >381 mg ai/kg
Viable embryos/eggs set (%)	85.9	96.6	92.3	77.0	381 mg ai/kg >381 mg ai/kg
Live embryos/eggs set (%)	85.6	96.2	91.8	75.2	381 mg ai/kg >381 mg ai/kg
No. of hatchlings/eggs set (%)	78.4	89.7	80.9	64.2	381 mg ai/kg >381 mg ai/kg
14 Day hatchlings/eggs set (%)	74.2	85.4	77.7	59.0*	100 mg ai/kg 381 mg ai/kg
Live embryos/viable embryos (%)	99.7	99.6	99.4	97.5	381 mg ai/kg >381 mg ai/kg
Hatchling/live embryos (%)	92.2	93.4	87.9	82.3*	100 mg ai/kg 381 mg ai/kg
14 Day hatchling/no. hatched (%)	94.5	95.2	95.5	90.1	381 mg ai/kg >381 mg ai/kg
Hatchling weight (g)	6.25	6.13	5.88*	5.47**	18.2 mg ai/kg 100 mg ai/kg
14 Day survivor weight (g)	28.9	28.1	28.1	26.4*	100 mg ai/kg 381 mg ai/kg
Mean food consumption (g/bird/day)	18.3	18.8	18.6	18.4	381 mg ai/kg >381 mg ai/kg

Male weight gain (g)	15.8	18.1	13.5	-1.37**	100 mg ai/kg 381 mg ai/kg
Female weight gain (g)	44.6	38.7	46.5	30.4*	100 mg ai/kg 381 mg ai/kg

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically different from the control at p<0.05.

#### E. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

This study is scientifically sound and there were no study deficiencies.

No deviations from OCSPP guideline 850.2300 were noted.

#### F. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

The reviewer's results were comparable to those of the study authors with the exception that the reviewer reported all results based on the mean-measured concentrations rather than the nominal concentrations. Therefore, the reviewer's results are reported in the Executive Summary and Conclusions sections of this DER.

Though not statistically significant, there were suggesting dose-related decreases in viable embryos/egg set (10.3% reduction), live embryos/egg set (12.1% reduction), and hatchlings/egg set (18.0% reduction) relative to the negative control.

All validity requirements were met. Specifically, controls produced an average of thirty-four (34) 14-day old survivors per hen during the 10-week production phase (minimum of 12 chicks per pen during a 10-week production phase), the egg shell thickness of control eggs was 0.234 mm (minimum of 0.19 mm for Bobwhite), and adult control mortality was <10%.

Homogeneity, stability, and concentration verification samples were analyzed for Ethylenethiourea concentrations during the definitive study and were included in the study report. The coefficients of variation were 4.48-6.65% for the homogeneity samples. The stability samples at Day 7 were 91-99% of the Day 0 values. The test concentrations were 91-100% of nominal for the verification samples.

Experimental test dates were August 2, 2011-January 30, 2012.

#### **G. CONCLUSIONS:**

This study is scientifically sound and is acceptable for Agency risk assessments. No mortalities occurred in the control or 381 mg ai/kg groups. Incidental mortalities included one 18.2 mg ai/kg group male bird found dead on Day 3 of Week 6, and one 100 mg ai/kg group female bird found dead on Day 6 of Week 20. No treatment-related signs of toxicity were observed in the control or treatment groups. No treatment-related findings were observed in the  $\leq$ 100 mg ai/kg diet groups based on the gross necropsy results. At 381 mg ai/kg, the treatment-related finding of enlarged thyroids was observed for one male and four female adult birds. There were no treatment-related differences in feed consumption or in adult body weights at any treatment level. At 100 and 381 mg ai/kg diet, hatchling body weights were significantly (p<0.05) decreased compared to controls. At 381

<sup>\*\*</sup> Statistically different from the control at p<0.01.

mg ai/kg diet, 14-day old survivor weight,14-d hatchlings per egg set, hatchlings per live embryo, male weight gain, and female weight gain were significantly (p<0.05) reduced compared to controls. No apparent treatment-related effects on reproduction or statistically-significant differences from the control were observed at any interval for the 18.2 or 100 mg ai/kg-diet levels. No significant treatment-related differences in egg shell thickness were observed between the control group and any of the treatment groups. The NOAEC was 18.2 mg ai/kg diet (mean-measured concentration) based on hatchling bodyweights.

NOAEC: 18.2 mg ai/kg (mean-measured) LOAEC: 100 mg ai/kg (mean-measured)

Endpoints affected: 14-d hatchlings/eggs set, hatchlings/live embryo, hatchling weight, 14-d survivor weight,

male weight gain, female weight gain

Most sensitive endpoint(s): Hatchling body weight

#### **III. REFERENCES:**

None; other than standard guidelines and methodologies.

# **CETIS Analytical Report**

**Report Date:** 29 Dec-13 10:41 (p 1 of 18)

**Test Code:** 600016 48819701 | 02-5135-8581

#### OCSPP 850.2300 Chronic Avian Reproduction

Wildlife International

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

20wk

Diluent:

Brine:

Age:

**Analysis ID:** 19-3871-3544 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:38

Start Date:

**Duration:** 

**Ending Date:** 

02 Aug-11

NA

**Endpoint:** 01 Eggs Laid per Pen **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.8.7

Analysis: Nonparametric-Multiple Comparison Official Results: Yes

Data Transfori	m		Zeta	Alt Hyp	Trials	Seed			PMSD	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransformed			NA	C > T	NA	NA			20.1%	381	>381	NA	
Wilcoxon/Bon	ferro	ni Adj Tesí	t										
Control	vs	C-mg ai/	kgBW	Test Stat	Critical	Ties	DF	P-Value	P-Type	Decisio	n(α:5%)		
Negative Contr	ol	18.2		0.515	1.64	8	29	0.9098	Asymp	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
-		100		-1.76	1.64	5	30	1.0000	Asymp	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
		381		-0.774	1.64	4	30	1.0000	Asymp	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
ANOVA Table													
Source		Sum Squ	ares	Mean Squ	ıare	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decisio	n(α:5%)		
Between		191.356		63.78532		3		0.357	0.7840	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
Error		10532.36		178.5145		59							
Total		10723.71				62							
Distributional	Tests	;											
Attribute		Test			Test Stat	Critical	I	P-Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett E	quality of V	/ariance	5.56	11.3		0.1351	Equal Var	iances			
Distribution		Shapiro-	Wilk W Nor	mality	0.825	0.948		<0.0001	Non-norm	al Distribu	tion		
01 Eggs Laid	per Po	en Summa	ary										
C-mg ai/kgBW	Cont	rol Type	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UC	CL	Median	Min	Мах	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Nega	tive Contro	ol 16	51.1	46.2	56		52	29	64	2.29	17.9%	0.0%
18.2	-		15	49.1	42.7	55.6		50	14	65	3.01	23.7%	3.9%
100			16	54.1	46.2	62		59	9	70	3.7	27.4%	-5.75%
381			16	51.4	42.7	60.2		55	6	71	4.12	32.0%	-0.61%
Graphics													
Batch ID:	19-8	3755-2466	Te:	st Type: Chr	onic Avian F	Repro			Anal	vst:			
Daton ib.				л турот от					, u i ca i	,0			

OCSPP 850.2300 Chronic Bird

Molly Bryden, Rock Hall, MD

Colinus virginianus

Protocol:

Species:

Source:

# **CETIS Analytical Report**

**Report Date:** 29 Dec-13 10:41 (p 2 of 18)

**Test Code:** 600016 48819701 | 02-5135-8581

# OCSPP 850.2300 Chronic Avian Reproduction

International

**Analysis ID:** 10-7202-5659 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:38

Endpoint: 02 Eggs Not Cracked per EL CETIS Version: CETISv1.8.7

Analysis: Nonparametric-Multiple Comparison Official Results: Yes

Data Transfor	m		Zeta	Alt H	yp Tr	ials	Seed			PMSD	NOE	L LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransformed			NA	C > T	N/	A	NA			3.35%	<18.2	2 18.2	NA	
Wilcoxon/Bon	ferron	i Adj Test												
Control	vs	C-mg ai/k	gBW	Test S	Stat Cı	itical	Ties	DF	P-Value	P-Type	Deci	sion(α:5%)		
Negative Conti	ol	18.2*		2.25	1.0	64	2	29	0.0365	Asymp	Signi	ificant Effect		
		100		0.365	1.0	64	1	30	1.0000	Asymp	Non-	Significant Effe	ct	
		381		0.527	1.0	64	3	30	0.8975	Asymp	Non-	Significant Effe	ct	
ANOVA Table														
Source		Sum Squa	res	Mean	Square		DF		F Stat	P-Value	Deci	sion(α:5%)		
Between		0.0088808	54	0.0029	960285		3		1.63	0.1927	Non-	Significant Effe	ct	
Error		0.1073214		0.0018	319006		59							
Total		0.1162022					62							
Distributional	Tests													
Attribute		Test			Te	st Stat	Critica	al	P-Value	Decision	ι(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett Ed	uality c	of Variance	7.9	9	11.3		0.0481	Equal Va	riances			
Distribution		Shapiro-W	/ilk W N	Normality	0.	753	0.948		<0.0001	Non-norr	nal Disti	ribution		
02 Eggs Not C	racke	d per EL S	ummar	ry										
C-mg ai/kgBW	Contr	ol Type	Count	Mean	95	% LCL	95% L	JCL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Negat	ive Control	16	0.981	0.9	967	0.995		0.983	0.891	1	0.00665	2.71%	0.0%
18.2			15	0.948	0.9	918	0.979		0.962	0.786	1	0.0143	5.83%	3.32%
100			16	0.971	0.9	951	0.991		0.983	0.883	1	0.00926	3.82%	1.02%
381			16	0.972	0.9	947	0.998		0.981	0.807	1	0.0118	4.85%	0.87%
Graphics														
Batch ID:	19-87	755-2466		Test Type:	Chronic	: Avian F	Repro			Ana	lyst:			
Start Date:	02 A	ug-11		Protocol:	OCSPF	850.23	00 Chro	nic E	Bird	Dilu	ent:	Not Applicable		
				Species:	Colinus	virginia	nuc			Brir	٠	Not Applicable		
Ending Date:			,	opecies.	Commus	virgiriia	iius			DIII	IC.	Tiot Applicable		

Wildlife

# **CETIS Analytical Report**

OCSPP 850.2300 Chronic Avian Reproduction

Wildlife International

**PMSD** 

**NOEL** 

LOEL

**TOEL** 

TU

Analysis ID: 15-6103-3578 Analyzed: 29 Dec-13 10:38

Endpoint: 03 Viable Embryos per ES CETIS Version: CETISv1.8.7 Official Results: Yes

Analysis: Nonparametric-Multiple Comparison

Batch ID: 19-8755-2466 Test Type: Chronic Avian Repro

Analyst:

Start Date: 02 Aug-11

Protocol: OCSPP 850.2300 Chronic Bird Diluent: Not Applicable

Zeta

Alt Hyp

**Trials** 

**Ending Date: Duration:** NA Species: Colinus virginianus

Source: Molly Bryden, Rock Hall, MD

Brine: Not Applicable

Age: 20wk

**Data Transform** 

Untransform	ed		NA	C > T	NA	NA		17.0%	381	>381	NA	
Wilcoxon/Be	onferro	ni Adj Tes	t									_
Control	vs	C-mg ai/	kgBW	Test Stat	Critical	Ties D	F P-Value	P-Type	Decisio	n(α:5%)		
Negative Co	ntrol	18.2		-1.2	1.64	3 2	9 1.0000	Asymp	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
		100		0.246	1.64	1 3	0 1.0000	Asymp	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
		381		1.63	1.64	1 3	0 0.1560	Asymp	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
ANOVA Tab	le								-			
Source		Sum Squ	ares	Mean Squ	iare	DF	F Stat	P-Value	Decisio	n(α:5%)		
Between		0.340888	2	0.1136294	1	3	3.18	0.0305	Significa	ant Effect		
Error		2.109224		0.0357495	57	59						
Total		2.450113				62						
Distribution	al Tests	5									_	
Attribute		Test			Test Stat	Critical	P-Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett E	quality of V	/ariance	64.6	11.3	<0.0001	Unequal '	Variances			
Distribution		Shapiro-	Wilk W No	rmality	0.683	0.948	<0.0001	Non-norm	nal Distribu	ution		
03 Viable Er	nbryos	per ES Su	mmary									
C-mg ai/kgBW	Cont	rol Type	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCI	_ Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Nega	tive Contro	ol 16	0.859	0.728	0.989	0.947	0.0213	1	0.0614	28.6%	0.0%
18.2			15	0.966	0.947	0.985	0.976	0.875	1	0.00878	3.52%	-12.5%
100			16	0.923	0.894	0.952	0.913	0.796	1	0.0135	5.85%	-7.53%
381			16	0.77	0.623	0.918	0.857	0	1	0.0691	35.9%	10.3%

Seed

Wildlife International

**Analysis ID:** 10-5203-0165 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:38

**Endpoint:** 04 Live Embryos per ES **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.8.7

Centered

Analysis: Nonparametric-Multiple Comparison Official Results: Yes

t Applicable by Applicable wk  LOEL >381  n(α:5%) inficant Effect inficant Effect	t	т <b>и</b> -
t Applicable wk  LOEL >381  n(α:5%)  nificant Effect nificant Effect	NA t	т <b>и</b> - -
LOEL >381  n(α:5%)  nificant Effect nificant Effect	NA t	_ TU -
LOEL >381  n(α:5%) nificant Effect nificant Effect	NA t	τυ - -
>381 n(α:5%) nificant Effect	NA t	TU - -
n(α:5%) nificant Effect	i i	-
nificant Effect	t	_
nificant Effect	t	
nificant Effect	t	
nificant Effect	<u>.</u>	
η(α:5%)		
nt Effect		
	_	
tion		
Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0.0615	28.7%	0.0%
0.00861	3.46%	-12.4%
	6.28%	-7.28%
0.0144		, ,
	Std Err 0.0615 0.00861	Std Err         CV%           0.0615         28.7%           0.00861         3.46%

#### Wildlife International

**Analysis ID:** 01-9365-5745 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:38

**Endpoint:** 05 Hatchlings per ES **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.8.7

Analysis:	Nonparametric-Multiple Comparison	Official Results: Yes	
Anaivsis:	Nonparametric-Multiple Comparison	Official Results: 168	

B-1-1-10 40.0755.0400 T1.7 Ob								
<b>,</b> ,	onic Avian R SPP 850.230	Repro 00 Chronic B	ird	Anal Dilue		t Applicable		
· ·	nus virginiar	nus		Brine		t Applicable		
	•	ock Hall, MD		Age:				
Julius III	, =., ==.,	,		7.90.				
Data Transform Zeta Alt Hyp	Trials	Seed		PMSD	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransformed NA C > T	NA	NA		20.6%	381	>381	NA	
Wilcoxon/Bonferroni Adj Test								
Control vs C-mg ai/kgBW Test Stat	Critical	Ties DF	P-Value	P-Type	Decision	η(α:5%)		
Negative Control 18.2 -1.19	1.64	1 29	1.0000	Asymp	Non-Sigr	nificant Effect		
100 0	1.64	1 30	1.0000	Asymp	J	nificant Effect		
381 213	NA	0 30	0.0843	Exact	Non-Sigr	nificant Effect		
ANOVA Table								
Source Sum Squares Mean Squares	are	DF	F Stat	P-Value	Decision	η(α:5%)		
Between 0.5232865 0.1744288		3	3.96	0.0122	Significa	nt Effect		
Error 2.596497 0.04400843	3	59			-			
Total 3.119784		62						
Distributional Tests							-	
Attribute Test	Test Stat	Critical	P-Value	Decision(	α:1%)			
	Test Stat	Critical 11.3	<b>P-Value</b> <0.0001	Decision(	'			
Attribute Test				Unequal \	'	ion		
Attribute Test Variances Bartlett Equality of Variance	21.3	11.3	<0.0001	Unequal \	/ariances	iion		
Attribute Test  Variances Bartlett Equality of Variance Distribution Shapiro-Wilk W Normality	21.3 0.841	11.3 0.948	<0.0001	Unequal \	/ariances	ion Std Err	CV%	%Effec
Attribute Test  Variances Bartlett Equality of Variance Distribution Shapiro-Wilk W Normality  05 Hatchlings per ES Summary  C-mg Control Type Count Mean ai/kgBW	21.3 0.841	11.3 0.948 <b>95% UCL</b>	<0.0001 <0.0001	Unequal \ Non-norm	/ariances al Distribut		<b>CV%</b> 31.1%	%Effec
Attribute Test  Variances Bartlett Equality of Variance Distribution Shapiro-Wilk W Normality  05 Hatchlings per ES Summary  C-mg Control Type Count Mean ai/kgBW	21.3 0.841 <b>95% LCL</b>	11.3 0.948 <b>95% UCL</b> 0.913	<0.0001 <0.0001 Median	Unequal \ Non-norm	/ariances al Distribut Max	Std Err		0.0%
Attribute Test  Variances Bartlett Equality of Variance Distribution Shapiro-Wilk W Normality  05 Hatchlings per ES Summary  C-mg Control Type Count Mean ai/kgBW  0 Negative Control 16 0.784	21.3 0.841 <b>95% LCL</b> 0.654	11.3 0.948 <b>95% UCL</b> 0.913 0.938	<0.0001 <0.0001 <b>Median</b>	Unequal \ Non-norm  Min  0.0213	/ariances al Distribut  Max	<b>Std Err</b> 0.0609	31.1%	%Effec 0.0% -14.5% -3.21%

#### Wildlife International

# OCSPP 850.2300 Chronic Avian Reproduction

**Analysis ID:** 06-5967-9312 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:36

**Endpoint:** 06 14d Hatchlings per ES **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.8.7

Analysis: Nonparametric-Multiple Comparison Official Results: Yes

alysis. None	Jarann	etile-ividitipi	00111	раноон		IIICiai ites	uito. 1	-						
Batch ID: Start Date:		755-2466 ug-11		Test Type: Protocol:		nic Avian F PP 850.23	•	nic F	Rird	Anal Dilu	-	t Applicable		
Ending Date:	027	ag i i		Species:		ius virginia			ni d	Brin		t Applicable		
Duration:	NA			Source:		/ Bryden, R		МГ	)	Age:				
Duration.	INA			Jource.	ivioliy	Diyucii, ix	OCK Hall	, 171	,	Age.	. 20	VVIC		
Data Transfor	rm		Zeta	Alt Hy	γp	Trials	Seed			PMSD	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransformed	d		NA	C > T		NA	NA			21.8%	100	381	195.2	-
Wilcoxon/Bor	nferro	ni Adj Test												-
Control	vs	C-mg ai/k	gBW	Test S	Stat	Critical	Ties	DF	P-Value	P-Type	Decision	η(α:5%)		
Negative Cont	rol	18.2		-1.11		1.64	1	29	1.0000	Asymp	Non-Sigr	nificant Effect		
· ·		100		268		NA	0	30	1.0000	Exact	Non-Sign	nificant Effect		
		381*		206		NA	0	30	0.0432	Exact	Significa	nt Effect		
ANOVA Table											-			
Source		Sum Squa	res	Mean	Squa	ire	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decision	η(α:5%)		
Between		0.5786637		0.1928	3879		3		4.37	0.0076	Significa	nt Effect		
Error		2.603755		0.044	13143	3	59							
Total		3.182418					62							
Distributional	Tests	3											-	
Attribute		Test				Test Stat	Critica	ı	P-Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett Ed	quality	of Variance		18.3	11.3		0.0004	Unequal \	Variances			
Distribution		Shapiro-V	Vilk W	Normality		0.872	0.948		<0.0001	Non-norm	nal Distribut	tion		
06 14d Hatchl	lings p	er ES Sum	mary											
C-mg ai/kgBW	Cont	rol Type	Coun	t Mean		95% LCL	95% U	CL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effe
0	Nega	tive Control	16	0.742		0.61	0.873		0.838	0.0213	0.969	0.0617	33.3%	0.0%
18.2			15	0.854		0.811	0.898		0.868	0.673	0.95	0.0205	9.28%	-15.2%
100			16	0.777		0.678	0.875		0.824	0.317	1	0.0463	23.8%	-4.73%
381			16	0.59		0.446	0.733		0.638	0	0.867	0.0673	45.7%	20.5%

#### Wildlife International

**Analysis ID:** 00-1835-0168 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:35

381

**Endpoint:** 07 Live Embryos per VE **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.8.7

Analysis: Nonparametric-Multiple Comparison Official Results: Yes

15

0.975

0.957

ialysis. Non	parame	etric-iviulupie	Com	parison	0	iliciai Res	uits. T	62						
Batch ID: Start Date:		755-2466 ug-11		Test Type: Protocol:		nic Avian F SPP 850.23	•	nic E	Bird	Anal Dilu	•	Not Applicable		
<b>Ending Date:</b>				Species:	Colin	nus virginia	nus			Brin	e: 1	Not Applicable		
Duration:	NA			Source:	Molly	/ Bryden, R	ock Hall	, ME	)	Age	: 2	20wk		
Data Transfor	rm		Zeta	Alt H	ур	Trials	Seed			PMSD	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransformed	d		NA	C > T		NA	NA			1.45%	100	381	195.2	
Wilcoxon/Bor	nferror	ni Adj Test												
Control	vs	C-mg ai/k	gBW	Test	Stat	Critical	Ties	DF	P-Value	P-Type	Decisi	on(α:5%)		
Negative Cont	trol	18.2		0.401		1.64	1	29	1.0000	Asymp	Non-S	ignificant Effect		
		100		0.83		1.64	2	30	0.6096	Asymp	Non-S	ignificant Effect		
		381*		2.66		1.64	1	29	0.0118	Asymp	Signific	cant Effect		
ANOVA Table	)										_			
Source		Sum Squa	res	Mean	Squa	ire	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decisi	on(α:5%)		
Between		0.0047221	57	0.001	57405	52	3		4.61	0.0058	Signific	cant Effect		
Error		0.0197912	6	0.000	34122	286	58							
Total		0.0245134	1				61							
Distributional	l Tests	;											-	
Attribute		Test				Test Stat	Critica	I	P-Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett Ed	quality	of Variance		41.1	11.3		<0.0001	Unequal '	Variance	3		
Distribution		Shapiro-W	/ilk W	Normality		0.66	0.947		<0.0001	Non-norm	nal Distrib	oution		
07 Live Embr	yos pe	r VE Sumn	nary											
	Cont	rol Type	Coun	t Mean		95% LCL	95% U	CL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effec
C-mg ai/kgBW	Conti													
C-mg ai/kgBW		tive Control	16	0.997		0.991	1		1	0.967	1	0.0024	0.96%	0.0%
ai/kgBW			16 15	0.997 0.996		0.991 0.991	1		1	0.967 0.977	1	0.0024 0.0022	0.96% 0.86%	0.0% 0.07%

0.994

0.98

0.875

1

0.00868

3.45%

2.14%

#### Wildlife International

# OCSPP 850.2300 Chronic Avian Reproduction

**Analysis ID:** 12-2684-2431 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:35

**Endpoint:** 08 Hatchlings per LE **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.8.7

Analysis: Nonparametric-Multiple Comparison Official Results: Yes

Batch ID: Start Date: Ending Date: Duration:		755-2466 ug-11		Test Type: Protocol: Species: Source:	OC Col	ronic Avian F SPP 850.23 linus virginia Ily Bryden, R	00 Chror nus			Ana Dilu Brin Age	ent: N ne: N	ot Applicable ot Applicable 0wk		
Data Transfor	m		Zeta	Alt H	lyp	Trials	Seed			PMSD	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransformed	ł		NA	C > 1	-	NA	NA			12.8%	381	>381	NA	=
Wilcoxon/Bon	ferro	ni Adj Test												=
Control	vs	C-mg ai/l	kgBW	Test	Stat	Critical	Ties	DF	P-Value	P-Type	Decisio	on(α:5%)		
Negative Cont	rol	18.2		-0.21	9	1.64	3	29	1.0000	Asymp	Non-Sig	gnificant Effect		
J		100		0.36		1.64	1	30	1.0000	Asymp	Non-Sig	gnificant Effect		
		381		1.8		1.64	1	29	0.1073	Asymp	Non-Sig	gnificant Effect		
ANOVA Table											_			
Source		Sum Squa	ares	Mear	ո Sqւ	ıare	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decisio	on(α:5%)		
Between		0.1145901		0.038	31967	71	3		1.69	0.1796	Non-Sig	gnificant Effect		
Error		1.31262		0.022	26313	38	58							
Total		1.42721					61							
Distributional	Tests	1											_	
Attribute		Test				Test Stat	Critical	I	P-Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett E	quality	of Variance		14.3	11.3		0.0025	Unequal	Variances			
Distribution		Shapiro-\	Vilk W	Normality		0.777	0.947		<0.0001	Non-norn	nal Distribu	ution		
08 Hatchlings	per L	E Summai	ry											
C-mg ai/kgBW	Cont	rol Type	Coun	it Mear	1	95% LCL	95% U	CL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effec
0	Nega	tive Contro	l 16	0.922	2	0.864	0.98		0.956	0.596	1	0.0272	11.8%	0.0%
18.2			15	0.934	1	0.89	0.977		0.955	0.75	1	0.0203	8.44%	-1.22%
100			16	0.879	)	0.787	0.972		0.943	0.407	1	0.0434	19.8%	4.67%
381			15	0.823		0.709	0.937		0.902	0.214	1	0.0533	25.1%	10.8%

#### Wildlife International

**Analysis ID:** 18-8379-6770 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:34

**Endpoint:** 09 14d Hatchlings per NH **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.8.7

entered

Analysis: Nonparametric-Multiple Comparison Official Results: Yes

<b>Analysis:</b> Nonp	aram	etric-Multiple	e Com	parison	1	Official Res	ults: Y	'es						
Batch ID: Start Date: Ending Date: Duration:		755-2466 .ug-11		Test Type Protocol Species: Source:	: OC Col	ronic Avian F SPP 850.23 linus virginia Ily Bryden, R	00 Chro nus			Anal Dilud Brin Age:	ent: e:	Not Applicable Not Applicable 20wk		
Data Transfor	m		Zeta	Alt	Нур	Trials	Seed	•		PMSD	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransformed	ı		NA	C >		NA	NA			6.01%	381	>381	NA	•
Wilcoxon/Bon	ferro	ni Adj Test												-
Control	vs	C-mg ai/k	gBW	Tes	st Stat	Critical	Ties	DF	P-Value	P-Type	Decis	sion(α:5%)		
Negative Cont	rol	18.2		0.4	62	1.64	1	29	0.9658	Asymp	Non-S	Significant Effect		
		100		0.1	74	1.64	2	30	1.0000	Asymp	Non-S	Significant Effect		
		381		1.6	8	1.64	3	29	0.1390	Asymp	Non-S	Significant Effect		
ANOVA Table											-			
Source		Sum Squa	res	Me	an Squ	ıare	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decis	sion(α:5%)		
Between		0.0289955	1	0.0	096651	172	3		1.84	0.1503	Non-S	Significant Effect		
Error		0.3050019		0.0	052586	654	58							
Total		0.3339975					61							
Distributional	Tests	5											•	
Attribute		Test				Test Stat	Critica	al	P-Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances Distribution		Bartlett Ed Shapiro-W	. ,			16.2 0.793	11.3 0.947		0.0010 <0.0001	Unequal \ Non-norm				
09 14d Hatchl	ings p	er NH Sum	nmary											
C-mg ai/kgBW	Cont	rol Type	Coun	it Me	an	95% LCL	95% U	ICL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Nega	tive Control		0.9	-	0.902	0.989		0.953	0.667	1	0.0204	8.64%	0.0%
18.2			15	0.9		0.929	0.975		0.951	0.868	1	0.0106	4.29%	-0.73%
100			16	0.9		0.931	0.979		0.955	0.864	1	0.0113	4.73%	-1.02%
381			15	0.9	01	0.843	0.958		0.931	0.667	1	0.0268	11.5%	4.69%

#### Wildlife International

# OCSPP 850.2300 Chronic Avian Reproduction

**Analysis ID:** 08-2300-2015 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:34

**Endpoint:** 10 Eggshell Thickness **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.8.7

Analysis: Parametric-Control vs Treatments Official Results: Yes

aiysis. Tala		-Control vs		101110		Jiliciai ites	100							
Batch ID: Start Date:		755-2466 ug-11		<b>Test Type:</b> Chronic Avian Repro <b>Protocol:</b> OCSPP 850.2300 Chronic Bird					Anal Dilue	-	t Applicable			
Ending Date:		-9		Species:		inus virginia				Brin		t Applicable		
Duration:	NA			Source:		ly Bryden, R		MD		Age:		• •		
						, , ,	•			•				
Data Transfor			Zeta	Alt H	•	Trials	Seed			PMSD	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransformed	d		NA	C > T		NA	NA			4.71%	381	>381	NA	
Dunnett Multi	iple Co	mparison	Test											
Control	١s	C-mg ai/k	gBW	Test	Stat	Critical	MSD [	DF P-	Value	P-Type	Decision	η(α:5%)		
Negative Cont	trol	18.2		0.91		2.11	0.011 2	29 0.3	3627	CDF	Non-Sigr	nificant Effect		
· ·		100		1.05		2.11	0.011 3	30 0.3	3047	CDF	Ū	nificant Effect		
		381		-0.13	2	2.11	0.011 3	30 0.7	7967	CDF	Non-Sigr	nificant Effect		
ANOVA Table											-			
Source		Sum Squa	res	Mean	Squ	are	DF	F	Stat	P-Value	Decision	η(α:5%)		
Between		0.0004869	059	0.000	1623	02	3	0.7	745	0.5298	Non-Sigr	nificant Effect		
Error		0.0128608	1	0.000	2179	798	59							
Total		0.0133477	1				62							
Distributional	Tests	;											•	
Attribute		Test				Test Stat	Critical	P-	Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett Ed	quality	of Variance		7.19	11.3	0.0	0661	Equal Var	iances			
Distribution		Shapiro-V	Vilk W	Normality		0.967	0.948	0.0	0896	Normal D	istribution			
10 Eggshell T	hickn	ess Summa	ary											
C-mg ai/kgBW	Cont	rol Type	Coun	t Mean		95% LCL	95% UC	L Me	edian	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effe
0	Nega	tive Control	16	0.234		0.227	0.24	0.2	23	0.218	0.27	0.00314	5.37%	0.0%
18.2			15	0.229		0.223	0.234		229	0.205	0.243	0.00255	4.32%	2.07%
100			16	0.228		0.22	0.236	0.2		0.199	0.246	0.00368	6.46%	2.35%
381			16	0.234		0.224	0.245	0.2	231	0.208	0.283	0.00495	8.45%	-0.29%

#### Wildlife International

**Analysis ID:** 03-1095-5044 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:34

**Endpoint:** 11 Hatchling Weight **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.8.7

Analysis: Parametric-Control vs Treatments Official Results: Yes

Batch ID:	19-8	755-2466		Test Type:	Chr	onic Avian F	Repro		Anal	yst:			
Start Date:	02 A	ug-11		Protocol:	OC:	SPP 850.23	00 Chronic	Bird	Dilue	-	t Applicable		
Ending Date:		J		Species:	Coli	inus virginia	nus		Brin		ot Applicable		
Duration:	NA			Source:		ly Bryden, R		D	Age:		wk		
Data Transfor	m		Zeta	Alt H	lур	Trials	Seed		PMSD	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransformed	ł		NA	C > T	•	NA	NA		6.79%	100	381	195.2	
Dunnett Multip	ple Co	omparison	Test										
Control	١s	C-mg ai/	kgBW	Test	Stat	Critical	MSD DF	P-Value	P-Type	Decisio	n(α:5%)		
Negative Contr	rol	18.2		0.579	)	2.11	0.425 29	0.5096	CDF	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
		100		1.89		2.11	0.418 30	0.0780	CDF	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
		381*		3.89		2.11	0.425 29	0.0004	CDF	Significa	int Effect		
ANOVA Table										-			
Source		Sum Squa	ares	Mear	Squ	are	DF	F Stat	P-Value	Decisio	n(α:5%)		
Between		5.525269		1.841	756		3	5.86	0.0014	Significa	int Effect		
Error		18.21667		0.314	0804		58			_			
Total		23.74194					61	_					
Distributional	Tests	<b>5</b>										_	
Attribute		Test				Test Stat	Critical	P-Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett E	quality	of Variance		2.29	11.3	0.5142	Equal Var	iances			
Distribution		Shapiro-\	√ilk ₩	Normality		0.958	0.947	0.0318	Normal D	istribution			
11 Hatchling V	Neigh	t Summar	у										
C-mg	Cont	rol Type	Cour	nt Mear	1	95% LCL	95% UCL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effe

C-mg ai/kgBW	Control Type Cour	nt Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Negative Control 16	6.25	6.01	6.49	6	6	7	0.112	7.16%	0.0%
18.2	15	6.13	5.85	6.42	6	5	7	0.133	8.42%	1.87%
100	16	5.88	5.55	6.2	6	5	7	0.155	10.5%	6.0%
381	15	5.47	5.11	5.82	6	4	6	0.165	11.7%	12.5%

# Wildlife International

**Analysis ID:** 06-0837-6420 Analyzed: 29 Dec-13 10:39

Endpoint: 11 Hatchling Weight

Analysis: Parametric-Control vs CETIS Version: CETISv1.8.7

nalysis: Para	metric-	Control vs	Ord.Tr	reatments	Official	Results	Y	es						
Batch ID:	19-87	755-2466		Test Type:	Chronic A	/ian Repr	כ			Ana	lyst:			
Start Date:	02 Aı	ug-11		Protocol:	OCSPP 85	50.2300 C	hro	nic E	Bird	Dilu	ent:	Not Applicable	е	
<b>Ending Date:</b>				Species:	Colinus vir	ginianus				Brin	e:	Not Applicable	е	
Duration:	NA			Source:	Molly Bryd	en, Rock	Hall	i, MC	)	Age	:	20wk		
Data Transfor	rm		Zeta	Alt Hy	p Trials	s Se	ed			PMSD	NOE	L LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransformed	d		NA	C > T	NA	N/				5.7%	18.2	100	42.66	
Williams Mult	tiple Co	omparison	Test											
Control	١s	C-mg ai/k	gBW	Test S	tat Critic	al MS	D	DF	P-Value	P-Type	Deci	sion(α:5%)		
Negative Cont	trol	18.2		0.579	1.67	0.3	37	29	>0.05	CDF	Non-	Significant Effe	ect	
		100*		1.89	1.75	0.3	46	30	< 0.05	CDF	Signi	ficant Effect		
		381*		3.89	1.77	0.3	56	29	<0.05	CDF	Signi	ficant Effect		
ANOVA Table	1										_			
Source		Sum Squa	res	Mean	Square	DF			F Stat	P-Value	Deci	sion(α:5%)		
Between		5.525269		1.8417	56	3			5.86	0.0014	- Signi	ficant Effect		
Error		18.21667		0.3140	804	58								
Total		23.74194				61								
Distributional	l Tests													
Attribute		Test			Test	Stat Cr	tica	ıl	P-Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett Ed	quality	of Variance	2.29	11	3		0.5142	Equal Va	riances			
Distribution		Shapiro-V	Vilk W	Normality	0.958	0.9	47		0.0318	Normal D	istributi	on		
11 Hatchling	Weight	Summary	,											
C-mg ai/kgBW	Contr	ol Type	Coun	t Mean	95%	LCL 95	% U	CL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Negat	ive Control	16	6.25	6.01	6.4	9		6	6	7	0.112	7.16%	0.0%
18.2			15	6.13	5.85	6.4	2		6	5	7	0.133	8.42%	1.87%
100			16	5.88	5.55	6.2			6	5	7	0.155	10.5%	6.0%

Wildlife International

**Analysis ID:** 18-8843-3135 Analyzed: 29 Dec-13 10:33

Endpoint: 12 14d Survivor Weight CETIS Version: CETISv1.8.7

Ar Centered

Analysis: Para	ametric	-Control vs	Treatr	nents	(	Official Res	ults: Y	'es						
Batch ID: Start Date: Ending Date: Duration:	02 A	3755-2466 aug-11		Test Type: Protocol: Species: Source:	OC Col	ronic Avian F SPP 850.23 inus virginia Iy Bryden, R	00 Chro nus			Anal Dilu Brin Age:	ent: e:	Not Applicable Not Applicable 20wk		
Data Transfo	rm		Zeta	Alt F	lyp	Trials	Seed			PMSD	NOE	L LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransforme	d		NA	C > T		NA	NA			7.37%	100	381	195.2	
Dunnett Mult	iple Co	omparison	Test											
Control	۱ s	C-mg ai/k	gBW	Test	Stat	Critical	MSD	DF	P-Value	Р-Туре	Deci	sion(α:5%)		
Negative Con	trol	18.2		0.801		2.11	2.13	29	0.4106	CDF	Non-	Significant Effect		
		100		0.819	)	2.11	2.09	30	0.4030	CDF	Non-	Significant Effect		
		381*		2.45		2.11	2.13	29	0.0228	CDF	Signi	ficant Effect		
ANOVA Table	)										_			
Source		Sum Squa	res	Mear	Squ	are	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decis	sion(α:5%)		
Between		49.74691		16.58	323		3		2.1	0.1096	Non-	Significant Effect		
Error		457.2208		7.883	3118		58							
Total		506.9677					61							
Distributiona	l Tests	3												
Attribute		Test				Test Stat	Critica	al	P-Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett E	quality	of Variance		4.53	11.3		0.2096	Equal Var	riances			
Distribution		Shapiro-V	Vilk W	Normality		0.986	0.947		0.7201	Normal D	istributi	on		
12 14d Survi	vor We	ight Summ	ary											
C-mg ai/kgBW	Cont	rol Type	Coun	nt Mear	1	95% LCL	95% L	JCL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Nega	tive Contro		28.9		27.7	30		29.5	25	32	0.539	7.47%	0.0%
18.2			15	28.1		26.6	29.6		28	23	33	0.707	9.75%	2.8%
100			16	28.1		26.7	29.4		28	25	32	0.622	8.87%	2.81%
381			15	26.4		24.4	28.4		27	19	33	0.95	13.9%	8.57%

Wildlife International

**Analysis ID:** 14-5381-7972 Analyzed: 29 Dec-13 10:39

Endpoint: 12 14d Survivor Weight CETIS Version: CETISv1.8.7

Centered

381

15

nalysis: Para	metric-Control vs	o Old. He	taunems	Official Res	uits. 165						
Batch ID:	19-8755-2466		7.	ronic Avian F	•		Anal	lyst:			
Start Date:	02 Aug-11		Protocol: OC	SPP 850.23	00 Chronic	Bird	Dilu	ent: No	ot Applicable		
<b>Ending Date:</b>			Species: Co	linus virginia	nus		Brin	e: No	ot Applicable		
Duration:	NA		Source: Mo	lly Bryden, R	tock Hall, M	D	Age	: 20	)wk		
Data Transfor	rm	Zeta	Alt Hyp	Trials	Seed		PMSD	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransforme	d	NA	C > T	NA	NA		6.18%	100	381	195.2	
Williams Mult	iple Compariso	n Test									
Control	۱s C-mg ai/	kgBW	Test Stat	Critical	MSD D	F P-Value	P-Type	Decisio	n(α:5%)		
Negative Cont	trol 18.2		0.801	1.67	1.69 29	>0.05	CDF	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
	100		0.819	1.75	1.73 30	>0.05	CDF	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
	381*		2.45	1.77	1.78 29	<0.05	CDF	Significa	ant Effect		
ANOVA Table	1							_			
Source	Sum Squ	ares	Mean Sq	uare	DF	F Stat	P-Value	Decisio	n(α:5%)		
Between	49.74691		16.5823		3	2.1	0.1096	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
Error	457.2208		7.883118		58						
Total	506.9677				61						
Distributional	Tests									-	
Attribute	Test			Test Stat	Critical	P-Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances	Bartlett E	quality o	of Variance	4.53	11.3	0.2096	Equal Va	riances			
Distribution	Shapiro-	Wilk W I	Normality	0.986	0.947	0.7201	Normal D	istribution			
12 14d Surviv	or Weight Sumr	nary									
C-mg ai/kgBW	Control Type	Count	Mean	95% LCL	95% UCL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Negative Contro	ol 16	28.9	27.7	30	29.5	25	32	0.539	7.47%	0.0%
18.2		15	28.1	26.6	29.6	28	23	33	0.707	9.75%	2.8%

24.4

26.4

28.4

27

19

33

0.95

13.9%

8.57%

#### Wildlife International

**Analysis ID:** 03-7020-4213 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:33

**Endpoint:** 13 Mean Food Consumption (Adult) **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.8.7

Analysis: Parametric-Control vs Treatments Official Results: Yes

ilalysis. Pala	imetric-C	JOHNOI VS	Heali	nents		Jiliciai Res	uits. Te	50						
Batch ID: Start Date:	19-87 02 Au	55-2466 g-11		Test Type: Protocol:		onic Avian F SPP 850.23	•	nic E	Bird		ilyst: ient: N	ot Applicable		
<b>Ending Date:</b>				Species:	Col	inus virginia	nus			Brin	ne: N	ot Applicable		
Duration:	NA			Source:	Mol	lly Bryden, R	ock Hall,	, MC	)	Age	: 2	Owk		
Data Transfor	rm		Zeta	Alt H	ур	Trials	Seed			PMSD	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransforme	d		NA	C > T		NA	NA			5.0%	381	>381	NA	_
Dunnett Multi	iple Con	nparison	Test											-
Control	۱ s	C-mg ai/k	gBW	Test	Stat	Critical	MSD	DF	P-Value	P-Type	Decisio	on(α:5%)		
Negative Conf	trol	18.2		-1.1		2.11	0.931	29	0.9735	CDF	Non-Sig	gnificant Effect		
J		100		-0.718	В	2.11	0.916	30	0.9332	CDF	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
	;	381		-0.14	4	2.11	0.916	30	0.8005	CDF	Non-Si	nificant Effect		
ANOVA Table	)										_			
Source	S	um Squa	res	Mean	Squ	ıare	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decisio	n(α:5%)		
Between	2	.376786		0.792	2619	)	3		0.523	0.6680	Non-Sig	gnificant Effect		
Error	8	9.3375		1.514	195		59							
Total	9	1.71429					62							
Distributional	l Tests												_	
Attribute	•	Test				Test Stat	Critica	I	P-Value	Decision	n(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett Ed	uality	of Variance		7.68	11.3		0.0531	Equal Va	riances			
Distribution	;	Shapiro-W	/ilk W	Normality		0.977	0.948		0.2813	Normal [	Distribution			
13 Mean Food	d Consu	mption (A	Adult)	Summary										
C-mg ai/kgBW	Contro	ol Type	Coun	t Mean	1	95% LCL	95% U	CL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Negativ	ve Control	16	18.3		17.8	18.9		18	16	20	0.254	5.54%	0.0%
18.2			15	18.8		18.4	19.2		19	18	20	0.2	4.12%	-2.66%
100			16	18.6		17.8	19.4		18	16	22	0.386	8.29%	-1.71%
381			16	18.4		17.6	19.1		18.5	16	21	0.352	7.66%	-0.34%

#### Wildlife International

**Analysis ID:** 10-8865-8087 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:33

18.2

100

381

**Endpoint:** 14 Weight Gain MALE adult **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.8.7

Analysis: Parametric-Control vs Treatments Official Results: Yes

15

16

16

18.1

13.5

-1.37

11.1

7.48

-9.51

25.2

19.5

6.76

18

13

-1

3

-8

-40

44

40

20

3.29

2.82

3.82

70.2%

83.6%

-14.7%

14.6%

-1110.0% 109.0%

nalysis: Para	ametric	-Control v	s Treatr	nents	(	Official Res	ults: Y	es						
Batch ID: Start Date:		3755-2466 Nug-11		Test Type: Protocol:		onic Avian F SPP 850.23	•	nic E	Bird	Anal Dilu	•	Not Applicable		
Ending Date:	:			Species:	Coli	inus virginia	nus			Brin	e:	Not Applicable		
Duration:	NA			Source:	Mol	ly Bryden, R	ock Hal	I, ME	)	Age:		20wk		
Data Transfo	rm		Zeta	Alt H	ур	Trials	Seed			PMSD	NOE	L LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransforme	ed		NA	C > T		NA	NA			62.1%	100	381	195.2	-
Dunnett Mult	tiple Co	omparisor	n Test											-
Control	١s	C-mg ai	/kgBW	Test	Stat	Critical	MSD	DF	P-Value	P-Type	Decis	sion(α:5%)		
Negative Cor	itrol	18.2		-0.489	9	2.11	9.99	29	0.8923	CDF	Non-	Significant Effect		
-		100		0.496		2.11	9.83	30	0.5458	CDF	Non-	Significant Effect		
		381*		3.68		2.11	9.83	30	0.0007	CDF	Signi	ficant Effect		
ANOVA Table	9										-			
Source		Sum Squ	ares	Mean	Squ	are	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decis	sion(α:5%)		
Between		3673.349		1224.	45		3		7.03	0.0004	- Signif	ficant Effect		
Error		10273.92		174.1	342		59							
Total		13947.27	1				62							
Distributiona	l Tests	5											-	
Attribute		Test				Test Stat	Critica	ıl	P-Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett E	quality	of Variance		1.36	11.3		0.7156	Equal Va	riances			
Distribution		Shapiro-	Wilk W	Normality		0.984	0.948		0.5924	Normal D	istributio	on		
14 Weight G	ain MA	LE adult S	Summa	ry										
C-mg ai/kgBW	Cont	rol Type	Coun	t Mean	1	95% LCL	95% U	CL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effect
0	Nega	tive Contr	ol 16	15.8		8.8	22.8		16	-8	34	3.29	83.2%	0.0%

#### Wildlife International

**Analysis ID:** 15-2044-7307 **Analyzed:** 29 Dec-13 10:32

**Endpoint:** 15 Weight Gain FEMALE adult **CETIS Version:** CETISv1.8.7

Analysis: Nonparametric-Multiple Comparison Official Results: Yes

naiysis: Nonp	parame	etric-Multiple	Com	parisori		ifficial Res	uits. It	<del></del>						
Batch ID: Start Date:		755-2466 .ug-11		Test Type: Protocol:		onic Avian F SPP 850.23	•	nic E	Bird	Ana Dilu	-	ot Applicable		
<b>Ending Date:</b>				Species:	Colir	nus virginia	nus			Brin	e: N	ot Applicable		
Duration:	NA			Source:	Moll	y Bryden, R	ock Hall,	, MC	)	Age	: 20	Owk		
Data Transfor	rm		Zeta	Alt H	ур	Trials	Seed			PMSD	NOEL	LOEL	TOEL	TU
Untransformed	d		NA	C > T		NA	NA			32.1%	100	381	195.2	-
Wilcoxon/Bor	nferro	ni Adj Test												-
Control	vs	C-mg ai/k	gBW	Test S	Stat	Critical	Ties	DF	P-Value	P-Type	Decisio	n(α:5%)		
Negative Cont	trol	18.2		0.435		1.64	3	29	0.9954	Asymp	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
		100		-0.455	5	1.64	2	29	1.0000	Asymp	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
		381*		2.49		1.64	4	30	0.0192	Asymp	Significa	ant Effect		
ANOVA Table	)										_			
Source		Sum Squa	res	Mean	Squa	are	DF		F Stat	P-Value	Decisio	n(α:5%)		
Between		2447.394		815.7	979		3		2.37	0.0798	Non-Sig	nificant Effect		
Error		19964.54		344.2	162		58							
Total		22411.94					61							
Distributional	l Tests	3											•	
Attribute		Test				Test Stat	Critica	ı	P-Value	Decision	(α:1%)			
Variances		Bartlett Ed	quality	of Variance		11.2	11.3		0.0109	Equal Va	riances			
Distribution		Shapiro-W	√ilk W	Normality		0.88	0.947		<0.0001	Non-norm	nal Distribu	ıtion		
15 Weight Ga	in FEN	/IALE adult	Sumr	nary										
C-mg ai/kgBW	Cont	rol Type	Coun	t Mean		95% LCL	95% U	CL	Median	Min	Max	Std Err	CV%	%Effec
0	Nega	tive Control	16	44.6		39.7	49.5		45.5	31	63	2.3	20.6%	0.0%
18.2			15	38.7		26	51.5		43	-31	63	5.94	59.4%	13.1%
100			15	46.5		35.4	57.5		45	3	95	5.17	43.1%	-4.27%
381			16	30.4		20.1	40.8		35	-29	52	4.87	64.0%	31.7%